

## **Belt Analysis Using VALDYN**

**David Bell** 

16<sup>th</sup> January 2013

DELIVERING VALUE THROUGH INNOVATION & TECHNOLOGY www.ricardo.com

RD.08/#########

#### Contents



- A Typical Model
  - Crankshaft, Ancillaries and Bearings
  - Belt
    - Introduction
    - Derivation of belt properties
    - Tooth profile definition
    - Belt Tensioner
    - Initialising the Belt Tension
- Running a Solution and Typical Solution Speeds
- Outputs
- Some Typical Results
- <u>Countermeasures</u>

#### **Overview of Model**



- The full timing drive model can be broken down into a number of sub-sections
  - Crankshaft
  - Idler
  - Water pump/other auxiliaries
  - Bearings
  - Belt
  - Tensioner
  - Valvetrain
  - Camshafts
  - Phaser if present
- It is often a good idea to model key sub-sections separately before assembling the full model – smaller models are easier to de-bug



#### **Crankshaft Torsional Vibrations**



- Crankshaft torsional displacements can be applied using two methods:
  - An SMOTION element containing a text file definition of the displacements at different engine speeds
  - By modelling the full crankshaft and exciting it via cylinder pressure forces





#### **Ancillaries**

- □ Ancillary torques can be applied using SFORCE elements
  - The data required is torque v. engine speed data
- A water pump example is shown here

Water Pump Torque v. Engine Speed





#### **Timing drive bearing models**

- Each timing drive bearing is usually modeled using a BUSH element and a PIVOT element
- The BUSH element contains the
  - x and y coordinates of the pulley centre
  - mass of the pulley
- The PIVOT element allows the specification of
  - bearing radius
  - radial bearing clearance
  - radial stiffness and damping
  - friction coefficient
- Modelling in this way allows the bearing clearances to be taken up when tension is applied to the belt
- Simpler models assume that the pulley mounting is rigid The data required is
  - Component inertia value
  - Torque v. engine speed data







#### **Timing drive bearing models**



#### **Front crankshaft and camshaft bearings are typically hydrodynamic bearings**

- Bearing radius from drawing
- Radial clearance assumed to be half the cold mean diametral value
- Radial stiffness estimated by hand calculation accounting for the oil film stiffness and the local structural stiffness
- Damping calculated based on 10% of critical damping
- Friction coefficient typically assumed to be 0.05
- Typical value of friction damping assumed

#### **U** Tensioner bearing is typically rolling element bearing

- Bearing radius from drawings
- Radial clearance was assumed to be typical value of 0.01 mm
- Radial stiffness was assumed to be typical value of 100000 N/mm
- Damping calculated based on 5% of critical damping
- Friction coefficient assumed to be 0.001
- Typical value of friction damping assumed

## RICARDO

#### **Belt Model**

 For the pulleys at the crankshaft, camshafts and pumps the VALDYN model requires

- Pulley radius
- Number of teeth
- Profile of a single tooth defined by a series of arcs and included angles
- Tooth contact stiffness, damping and friction
- Pulleys acting on the back of the belt have no teeth so the model requires only pulley radius and friction coefficient



#### **Belt Model**



□ Terminology used to describe toothed belts in VALDYN



#### RD.08/######### © Ricardo plc 2008

10

#### Belt Model

- The timing belt is typically modelled in VALDYN using the BELT\_V2 element with the TL\_nonlinear beam formulation
  - This is a geometrically non-linear representation
    - Axial belt stiffness changes with preload
    - Bending belt stiffness is dependent on the axial tension and the bending angle – i.e. the bending stiffness will change around a sprocket
- The BELT\_V2 element models the belt as a series of beam elements to represent belt axial and bending stiffness connected by nodes representing belt mass
- Also attached to the nodes are stiffness elements to represent the facing and back side of the belt and belt teeth
  - From these stiffness elements the normal forces between the belt and the pulleys are computed
  - From the friction coefficients tangential forces are computed
- The geometry of the belt teeth and the pulley teeth are specified and the 'meshing' is modelled
- Each belt pitch consists of 2 'segments'
  - The tooth is attached to one of these segments





#### **Belt Model**



#### □ A representation of the VALDYN BELT\_V2 model



- Kx Ky Knb Ksb Knf Ksf
- Beam shear stiffness
- Knb Backing normal stiffness
- Ksb Backing shear stiffness
- Knf Land normal stiffness
- Ksf Land shear stiffness
- Kt Tooth normal stiffness

#### **Belt Model – Axial Stiffness**

□ The belt axial stiffness is typically obtained from measured data



Span Stiffness

Strain (mm/mm)



# Belt Model – Axial Stiffness Calculations



F



- Belt Axial Stiffness
  - TL\_nonlinear model has stiffness dependent on load
  - Axial stiffness is defined by the belt effective Young's Modulus and effective area:

$$K_{x} = \frac{E * A_{s}}{1}$$

- Kx is known from the belt stiffness measurements
- As is usually taken as the area of the cords as most of the belt axial stiffness is due to the cords
- The effective Young's Modulus can then be calculated:

$$E = \frac{K_{cord} * 1}{A_{cord}} = \frac{\frac{23522 \frac{N}{mm} * 1mm}}{0.785 mm^2} = 29949 MPa$$

#### **Belt Model - Shear Stiffness**

- Belt Shear Stiffness (or bending stiffness)
  - This can be estimated from measurements of belt bending stiffness
  - An example test rig is shown below
  - For the example case:
    - The belt sample was 19mm wide and 5 pitches in length
    - The belt was simply supported with a load is applied to the centre of the sample
    - Force v. displacement measurements were recorded at the centre point
- □ The measured shear stiffness for the sample was N/mm





#### **Belt Model – Shear Stiffness**





- □ VALDYN requires shear stiffness input data for a 1mm cantilevered length of belt
- Therefore, factors to allow for the difference in sample support method, width and length are required to convert the measured stiffness to a VALDYN input values
- Factor 1 the measured belt stiffness is for a simply supported sample but the VALDYN considers a cantilevered sample:
  - Displacement at the middle of a simply supported span:

$$\delta_{\rm c} = \frac{{\rm FL}^3}{48{\rm EI}} \longrightarrow K = \frac{48{\rm EI}}{{\rm L}^3}$$

- Displacement at the end of a cantilevered span:

$$\delta_{\rm e} = \frac{{\rm FL}^3}{3{\rm EI}} \longrightarrow K = \frac{3{\rm EI}}{{\rm L}^3}$$

– Giving:

$$factor = \frac{3}{48} = 0.063$$

#### **Belt Model – Shear Stiffness**





- **Factor 2** the sample length was 5 pitches =  $5 \times 9.525$  mm but VALDYN considers a 1mm length
  - Giving:  $factor = \left(\frac{4 \cdot 9.525 \text{ mm}}{1 \text{ mm}}\right)^3 = 108076$
- □ Factor 3 the sample width was 19mm but the belt is 27.4mm wide
  - Giving:  $factor = \frac{27.4 \text{ mm}}{19 \text{ mm}} = 1.442$
- VALDYN required shear stiffness

—

$$K_y = 1.13 * 0.063 * 1.442 * 108076 = 110002 \left(\frac{N}{mm}\right)$$

□ The shear stiffness is defined by the Young's Modulus and belt section 2<sup>nd</sup> moment of area:

$$K_y = \frac{3EI_z}{l^3} \longrightarrow I_z = \frac{K_y l^3}{3E} = 0.12mm^4$$



- The belt facing and backing normal stiffness are defined as the compression stiffness of these sections of belt
- □ Values can be measured or estimated using the following assumptions
- Standard equations for calculating the normal stiffness of an infinitely long rubber block, with negligible strain along its length;
  - fully constrained in the other two directions:

$$K_{n\_constrained} = \frac{4}{3} * \frac{E * belt width}{belt\_thick ness} * (+kS^2)$$

unconstrained in the other two directions:

$$K_{n\_unconstant and} = \frac{4}{3} * \frac{E * beltwidth}{belt\_thickness}$$

- k is a factor depending on the rubber hardness
- S is a shape factor based on the rubber geometry and can be estimated as

$$S = \frac{beltwidth}{2*belt\_thickness}$$

#### **Belt Model – Facing and Backing Normal Stiffness**



- The belt construction falls between these two cases, with a partial constraint across one side due to bonding with the cords
- Typically the following might be assumed :
  - The rubber hardness was 30 IRHD
  - Young's modulus for a rubber of this hardness is 0.92MPa
  - Facing material was assumed to increase the effective Young's modulus by a factor of 2
  - k value for a rubber of 30 IRHD hardness is 0.93
  - An estimated shape factor of 2.4 to allow for the partial constraint provided by the cords
    - This value was derived from an analysis sensitivity study
    - The shape factor for a constrained piece of rubber is 5.5
- The calculated normal stiffness value for the facing was N/mm per mm length and for the backing was N/mm per mm length
- Damping values associated with each of the normal stiffness values were calculated as 12.5% of critical based on the local belt mass and stiffness

### **Belt Model – Facing and Backing Shear Stiffness**





- □ The VALDYN shear stiffness is defined as the force required per angle of shear produced
- For a belt with a rubber stiffness of 30 IHRD
  - A shear modulus of 0.3MPa is typical
  - Shear stiffness is calculated as the product of shear modulus and belt projected area:

$$K_{shear backing} = GA = G * width *1mm$$
 G = Shear modulus

- It can be assumed that the facing material can increase the effective shear modulus by a factor of 1.8
- The calculated shear stiffness therefore for the facing is N/rad and for the backing is N/rad
- Damping values associated with each of the normal stiffness values were calculated as 25% of critical based on the drive natural frequency and shear stiffness

#### **Belt Model – Other Input Parameters**



VALDYN internally calculates the mass and inertia of the belt body (I.e. without the teeth) from the effective area (cord area) and an effective density:

 $M = effective\_density*1*As$ 

- The effective density is entered into VALDYN
- This can be calculated from the mass and geometry on the belt drawing
- □ The tooth mass and inertia are entered separately and can be calculated from the belt geometry
- □ The friction coefficients for each contact face of the belt are usually provided by the belt supplier
  - Facing and teeth = 0.2-0.3
  - Backing = 0.3-0.5
- . □ A modal damping value of 1 is typically chosen

#### **Belt Model – Tooth Stiffness**



- □ For example the measured stiffness data for a 19mm wide belt sample
  - Two pieces of belt are held back to back
  - Tooth shaped dies are pushed over a single tooth on each belt sample
  - The belt is held and a force is applied to the dies, parallel to the belt cords
  - Measurements of applied force v. displacement are recorded
- The VALDYN belt tooth normal stiffness is defined as the compression stiffness of the belt tooth in the direction normal to the tooth face
  - The tooth stiffness values are entered into the VALDYN Pulley elements
- □ Correcting for the width of the belt, the VALDYN tooth stiffness input is **I** N/mm



#### **Belt Model – Tooth Profile Definition**



- □ The belt supplier should be able to provide the geometry of the belt tooth profile
  - This is entered into VALDYN as a series of radii and included angles



#### **Belt Model – Tooth Profile Definition**



The profile is entered using a LAMINA element within the VALDYN BELT\_V2 element as shown below



#### **Pulley Tooth Profile**

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

- □ The details of the tooth profiles for each of the pulleys are defined in a similar way to the belt tooth profile
- □ The profile details are entered into VALDYN using LAMINA elements within the PULLEY Macro element
  - The profile for a single tooth is defined as a series of arcs
  - VALDYN then repeats this by the appropriate number to produce the full pulley profile

![](_page_23_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### **Pulley Tooth Profile**

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### □ The VALDYN definition of a camshaft pulley tooth profile

LAMINAGEOM_2									
Name 38-tooth pulley									
Data   Number of Teeth   33   Length Units   Imm   Angle Units   geg   X Coordinate   V   Y Coordinate   V									
Shape Data									
	Type	Status	Radius/ Length	Included/ Start Angle	End Angle	×	y	Angle	
1	ARC	Ĥ	56.92	0.8464	N/A				
2	ARC	Ĥ	1.12	78.716	N/A				
3	ARC	Ĥ	4.57	-21.623	N/A				
4	ARC	Ĥ	2.44	-106.404	N/A				
5	ARC	Ĥ	4.57	-21.623	NZA				
6	ARC	Ĥ	1.12	78.716	N/A				
7	ARC	Ĥ	56.92	0.8464	NZA				

#### **Belt Tensioner**

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

- The tensioner may either be fixed in place or be actively spring loaded
- The tensioner supplier can usually provide preload and stiffness values for an active tensioner

![](_page_25_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### **Belt Model – Initialising the Belt Tension**

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

W BELT_V2 Properties	×							
OBJECT BELT_V2_1								
Туре								
Toothed Belt     C Flat/Vee Bel	t							
Beam Type								
TL Nonlinear C Simple								
Data								
Base TL Seg Simple Seg Facing Back Toothed Belt Pulleys								
Number of Segments 264								
Length of Segment 0	mm							
Initial belt tension 260	N							
Locations per Segment 10	-							
Parameter for tensioner pulley adjustment								
Parameter name								
Parameter min value 0								
Parameter max value 0								
Cutput								
OK Apply Cancel	Help							

- VALDYN BELT\_V2 models can be initialised using a number of different methods depending on the available data
- The simplest methods are the 3 built in 'Auto Tensioning' methods
- TYPE\_A method
  - VALDYN adjusts a specified parameter (e.g. tensioner position) to achieve a given belt preload with a specified belt segment length, layout and number of belt segments
- TYPE\_B method
  - VALDYN calculates an unloaded belt segment length that will result in the required belt preload with the specified layout and number of belt segments
- TYPE\_C\_method
  - VALDYN calculates a belt preload from a given belt segment length with the specified layout and number of belt segments

#### **Belt Model – Initialising the Belt Tension**

- The disadvantage with this method is that the pulleys are assumed to be in the centre of their bearings during initialisation – I.e. the bearing clearances are not taken up
  - The result is a slightly reduced tensioner nominal preload (with in tensioner tolerances)
- To account for this, a separate static analysis can be run to set the initial conditions
  - For the static analysis a simplified model of the belt drive is used, without any applied torques or displacements
  - An estimate is made for the initial belt segment length
  - The model is run until the tension is settled and the resulting tension is plotted
  - The process is iterated until the resulting tension is equal to the required value

![](_page_27_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_9.jpeg)

#### **Running the Analysis**

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

- □ A speed sweep analysis can be performed across the engine running range
- The total run time for a speed sweep using the example timing drive model was ~4 hrs (Year 2007)
  - 1000rpm to 6000rpm in 250rpm steps
  - Computer specification: AMD 64bit FX53, 2.4GHz, 2G RAM
- The model should be run for a number of cycles before output results are recorded to allow the model to converge
  - A typical model might be run for 6 engine cycles and then have the output recorded over a following two engine cycles
  - This will depend on the model complexity and excitations
- Many results plots can be generated to assess the bet drive behaviour
- □ These results can be viewed using the RPLOT program
- □ Plot types include :

•

- Time history plots look at the behaviour of a specified variable v. crank angle
- Speed sweep plots look at the value of a specified variable v. engine speed
- Location plots look at the value of a specified variable v. location number for a particular engine speed - I.e. the value at different positions around the drive
  - An explanation of the location numbering system is shown on the next slide

#### **Output Elements**

- It is useful to include a number of extra LSTIFF elements to generate 'relative displacement' output results
- These elements have no stiffness or damping input and have no physical function
- The highlighted LSTIFF element can be used to output the relative displacement between the crankshaft pulley and the exhaust camshaft pulley
  - The cam pulley displacements are first multiplied by a RATIO of 2 for this output to give the same constant speed as the crankshaft

![](_page_29_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### **Belt Location Numbering System**

- A location numbering system is defined in VALDYN to enable outputs at a particular position in the drive to be identified
- The zero location was positioned at the rear of the crankshaft sprocket
- □ 10 locations per segment or 20 per belt pitch
- This numbering system is fixed in space

![](_page_30_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### **Belt Results Plotting v. Location**

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

- The example plot shown is maximum belt force v. location at 1500rpm
- The different components in the drive layout can be identified from the location plots

![](_page_31_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Typical Analysis Results Phaser Stiffness

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

- □ The phaser stiffness depends on oil supply pressure
- □ Oil supply pressure generally increases across the speed range
- The simple stiffness representation can be modelled with a stiffness that increases with engine speed using PARAMETERS
- □ Typical values of stiffness v. engine speed are shown below

Engine speed (rpm)	Simple phaser stiffness (Nm/rad)
1000	1000
1500	1500
1750	2000
2000	2500
2250	2750
2500	3000
6000	3000

#### Typical Analysis Results Intake camshaft displacement

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

- □ Intake camshaft torsional displacement results for VANE\_PHASER model and simple model
  - Results are very similar

![](_page_33_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Typical Analysis Results Effective Belt Tension

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Effective belt tension results for VANE\_PHASER model and simple model
- Both analysis models show similar trends to the measured data
- The low speed results for the simple model match less well
  - The simple model follows the desired phasing map
  - The VANE\_PHASER model and measured engine, do not have sufficient oil pressure to function until 1800rpm

#### Typical Analysis Results Camshaft Pulley Displacement

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_3.jpeg)

- Camshaft pulley displacement results for VANE\_PHASER model and simple model
- Both analysis models show similar trends to the measured data
- As before, the low speed results for the simple model match less well

#### Typical Analysis Results Maximum Belt Force

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Belt force results for VANE\_PHASER model and simple model
  - Results are very similar

#### **Countermeasures**

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

- A number of different countermeasures can be employed to reduced the belt drive dynamics due to the camshaft torque loading
  - Camshaft damper

Contra-cam unit

Oval pulley

.

- Exhaust ူဝ Camshaft
- All these counter measures can be modelled within VALDYN